

C.F. 100/-

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

Writ Petition No. 1659 /2003

PETITIONER : Chaitanya Kumar Dewan,
Aged about 40 years,
S/o Late Gauri Shanker Dewan,
Working as Sub Engineer in
Water Resources Department,
Dongargarh, Rajnandgaon,
R/o C/o Prabhu Dayal Diwan,
M.I.G. – B/26, Nyas Colony,
Hoshangabad (M.P.)

-Versus-

P. R. No. 1461/03
Presented by Shri Smt. Meena Gupta
dated 09.5.2003

RESPONDENTS : 1. Union of India,
Through its Secretary, Ministry
of Personal and Training,
New Delhi.

RECEIVED COPY
CLERK TO A.G. BILASPUR

2. State of Madhya Pradesh,
Through its Secretary, Water
Resources Department,
Vallabh Bhawan,
Bhopal (M.P.).

3. State of Chhattisgarh,
Through its Secretary, Water
Resources Department,
Shastri Chowk, Raipur (C.G.).

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF A WRIT IN THE NATURE OF MANDAMUS, PROHIBITION, CERTIORARI ETC. OR ANY OTHER DIRECTION OR ORDER TO DO JUSTICE IN THE MATTER.

Details of the petition.

1. PARTICULAR OF THE PETITIONER:

As per cause title.

Copy received
9.5.03
V.V.S. Murthy

XI-HC-22

(25)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P. 1659 सन् 2003

..... विरुद्ध .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

25.9.2006

Shri V.K.Pandey, counsel for the petitioner.

Shri Bhishma Kinger, counsel for respondent No.1/Union of India.

None for respondent No.2.

Shri Satish Gupta, Dy. Government Advocate for the State/ respondent No.3.

I.A.No. 8970/2005

This is an application for withdrawal of the petition.

It is stated that the petition has become infructuous. The application is supported with an affidavit.

This application is not opposed by learned counsel for Union of India/respondent No.1 as well as learned counsel for the State/ respondent No.3.

The application is allowed.

In the facts and circumstances, the petition is dismissed as withdrawn.

Sd/-
Sunil Kumar Sinha
Judge

sumita

(पीछे देखिये)

25.9.06